

**North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG)  
Hurst SH10/Hurst Boulevard Corridor Redevelopment Plan  
Request for Proposals**

**Questions and Responses**

**\*\*New Question Added – see question #5\*\***

**Question #1:** The RFP references appendices. Where are the appendices located?

**Response:** Appendices are located in the Sample Contract posted on the website.

**Question #2:** Can you please provide clarification on the desired level of detail for the catalyst development areas? Are you seeking block level designs or diagrammatic concepts with development area type breakdowns?

**Response:** The deliverable to Task 3.2 should use the requested market study to propose catalytic redevelopment scenarios. These scenarios will be used to inform Task 3.3 and Final Recommendations. Firms should propose the level of detail for the catalyst development areas they feel is needed to inform requested recommendations of the land redevelopment plan.

**Question #3:** The RFP says 26 pages total, but it also says the proposals should be printed front and back. Is that 26 pages with 13 pages front + 13 pages back, or 26 pages front and 26 pages back?

**Response:** The page count should be a total of 26 pages, the front and back of 13 pages.

**Question #4:** Will there be a pre-solicitation meeting for the Hurst SH10/Hurst Boulevard Corridor Redevelopment Plan RFP?

**Response:** There will not be a pre-solicitation meeting for the Hurst SH10/Hurst Boulevard Corridor Redevelopment Plan RFP.

**Question #5:** On Page 18 Line 7, the RFP references an excel form for the project budget. Will this form be provided or should proposers disregard?

**Response:** This is a procurement for engineering services and cost will not be an evaluation factor. A budget sheet is not required as part of the proposal. The RFP document has been updated to remove Item 7 on page 18.