

**Request for Proposals
To Develop Local Area Traffic Management Plans for the 2026 World Cup Event
Locations**

Questions and Responses

Question #1: Will a pre-bid meeting be held for this RFP ?

Response: No, there will be no pre-bid meeting for this RFP.

Question #2: Could NCTCOG confirm the Fan Festival locations?

Response: The primary Fan Fest location that has been confirmed at Fair Park in Dallas.

Question #3: Are electronic signatures/scans of original signatures sufficient for USB/emailed submissions?

- a. Alternatively, we would like to confirm what constitutes as an original signature if we would like to submit a USB only, not a print copy

Response: Yes, NCTCOG will accept electronic signatures in place of original signatures.

Question #4: We are excited about this opportunity and would like to submit a response, but due to the quick release of the RFP and the short turnaround time, it will be difficult to put together an adequate team that the NCTCOG deserves. Would it be possible to get a month extension on this procurement?

Response: Unfortunately, we are not able to extend the timeframe for this RFP. NCTCOG needs approval to enter into a contract with the selected vendor(s) at the end of November. If we do not meet the November approval cycle, we will have to wait until the end of January to execute the contract and that will not allow enough time to prepare the draft plan by the end of March 2025. Please note this RFP is to pull existing plans together into the format FIFA is requesting and is not to create a plan from scratch.

Question #5: Is it possible for NCTCOG to provide a time extension to the RFP submission deadline to allow interested respondents to submit? A deadline extension by 2 weeks would be greatly appreciated.

Response: Unfortunately, we are not able to extend the timeframe for this RFP. NCTCOG needs approval to enter into a contract with the selected vendor(s) at the end of November. If we do not meet the November approval cycle, we will have to wait until the end of January to execute the contract and that will not allow enough time to prepare

the draft plan by the end of March 2025. Please note this RFP is to pull existing plans together into the format FIFA is requesting and is not to create a plan from scratch.

Question #6: We would like to kindly ask for clarification on the conflicting dates given for the final version of the Local Area Traffic Management Plans. In task 2 it says the final is to be completed March 1, 2026 (assuming the “final version” mentioned in task 2 is really the deliverable of task 3). Task 3 says it needs to be completed in Jan/Feb 2026. Could you please confirm which date is correct?

Response: In Task 2, the March 1, 2026 is a typo. This should be March 1, 2025. The initial draft plan is due to partner agencies March 1, 2025. Task 3 is the final plan, when the RFP was released NCTCOG did not have a set month for this so we estimated Jan/Feb 2026. This week NCTCOG staff has learned that the final plan is now due in December 2025. Please note that these dates may be adjusted based on adjustments that NCTCOG receives from the Host Committee or FIFA.

Question #7: Does the proposal, or any part of it, require a notary seal or a wet signature instead of an e-signature? Page 1 of the Instructions for Proposals Planning Purposes states: “Proposals containing original signatures and notary seals should be labeled ‘Original.’” However, we did not see “notary” or “original signature” mentioned elsewhere in the RFP documents.

Response: The proposal does not require a notary seal or wet signatures. NCTCOG will accept electronic signatures in the proposals.

Questions #8: Sorry, I realized that Attachment D-2 has to be notarized per page 2 of the Instructions for Proposals Planning Services: “Please submit the original notarized affidavit with the Proposal.” This prompts some follow-up questions:

Is it acceptable for the affidavit to be notarized by a Texas online Notary Public?

Response: NCTCOG has uploaded an updated version of the Instructions to Proposers to remove the requirement for the Notary Seal and Original or “wet” signatures. Please see the revised version posted online at www.nctcog.org/rfp.