



Integrated Watershed Based Planning for Regional Transportation and Stormwater Management in the Upper Trinity River Basin

Project Update Webinar June 18, 2020







WELCOME

1) Everyone is muted

- 2) Please use the chat box to ask questions
- 3) Presentation will be available on NCTCOG

website (https://www.nctcog.org/envir/watershed-management)





1) Project Overview and Goals

2) Timeline and Funding Updates

3) Stakeholder Engagement

4) Next Steps and Upcoming Efforts

5) USACE and NCTCOG Remarks

6) Questions



PROJECT OVERVIEW AND GOALS



Project initiated through partnership between NCTCOG and USACE

Project Vision:

• Undergo a proactive, comprehensive, integrated planning process that incorporates transportation, stormwater, and environmental infrastructure to address impacts of future growth in the project area.

Many partners will be involved in this project:

- Cities, counties
- Tarrant Regional Water District & Upper Trinity Regional Water District
- Trinity River Authority
- Texas Department of Transportation
- Special districts (municipal utility districts, groundwater conservation districts)
- Non-profits (land trusts, universities)
- Private Sector (developers, homebuilders, contractors, etc.)





PROJECT CONCEPT INITATIED DUE TO INCREASING IMPACTS OF FLOODING DISASTERS

Flooding Disasters are Impactful

- Flooding has impacted 99% of counties in USA, (1996 to present)
- Increasing frequency of events
 - 160 of 224 fatalities in TX occurred 2015-2017
- Increasing cost of flooding events
 - ~\$150B in Texas, (2015 to present)
 - Response: Increasing U.S. appropriations from \$1B to \$8B annually



1980-2020 Year-to-Date United Dates Billion-Gollar Disarter Event Prequency (CPS Adjusted)



Federal Disaster Relief Fund appropriations, adjusted for inflation; medians are for the decade ending in each fiscal year





REACTIVE APPROACH TO MANAGING FLOOD RISK AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS



As development occurs, planning occurs for:

- Transportation infrastructure
- Wastewater infrastructure
- Water supply infrastructure
- Solid waste infrastructure

But what about stormwater infrastructure:

- Spotty requirements to mitigate increased impervious area
- Minimal requirements to mitigate loss of storage
- Minimal requirements to look at cumulated watershed scale impacts
- Questionable standards, e.g. 100-year

What about environmental infrastructure:

- Negotiated impact by impact
- Frustration and misunderstandings
- Piece-meal rather than comprehensive

Case Study: Developing Area In North Fort Worth, Texas





ordinances



Kazemi, Hamidreza (Kasra, (2014), Evaluating the /effectiveness and hydrological performance of green infrastructure stormwater control measures, 10.18297/etd1744

Technologies to understand impacts of growth and development

- Floodplains are among the most valuable ecosystems on earth, they are also one of the most threatened
- Growth and development increases impervious cover and runoff
- Growth and development depletes storage
- Flooding is increased with negative societal impacts
- Further research for impacts of detention associated with development









REACTIVE PLANNING IMPACTS



Growth and development, when unmanaged, effectively establishes debt for future generations in the form of environmental, stormwater, and infrastructure maintenance and re-build costs.

Increase in	Impacts to
Impervious	Downstream
Surfaces	Neighbors
Stream Corridor	Outdated Data
Degradation	and Maps
Inadequate	Threat to Health,
Infrastructure	Safety, and First
Design	Response



TRANSPORTATION AND STORMWATER ARE CLOSELY LINKED





- Existing and needed low water crossings indicates a need for better analysis and data for transportation infrastructure
- Experiencing significant flood related damage to transportation infrastructure
- Strong relationship between development and road construction
- Experience non-stationarity of flood potential from growth and development
- Transportation infrastructure expenditures are some of the most significant
- Transportation infrastructure has a well established 5 year planning cycle
- Shouldn't we consider planning stormwater and environmental infrastructure?





ANALYZING ASSET CONDITION, NEEDS, AND PERFORMANCE



- Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act requires States and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to consider resiliency in the transportation planning process
- Asset management, risk management, and performance management serve complementary roles relating to resiliency
- As State DOTs, MPOs, and local governments assess and report on asset status and condition targets, it is clear needs vastly outweigh resources and goal attainability is difficult:
 - City of Dallas (2019) Pavements (11,775 lane-miles)
 2006 City Council goal 87% overall satisfaction rate; > 80% all districts
 November 2018 condition rating 77%
 FY 2019-23 Infrastructure Maintenance Program (IMP) 63%
 "Zero Degradation" \$1.66 billion shortage over 10 years
- Asset management plans provide the foundation for strategies to address infrastructure condition targets, as well as addressing lifecycle risks/stressors (e.g. flooding) at lowest practicable cost
- Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Framework is an existing reference to guide and encourage comprehensive proactive planning

VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT AND ADAPTATION FRAMEWORK





MONITORING SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY: MOBILITY 2045 PLAN – ESTABLISHING INVESTMENT PRIORITIES





Total Expenditures¹

Notes:

¹ Actual dollars, in billions. Values may not sum due to independent rounding.

² Balances to reasonably expected revenue, demonstrating financial constraint.





PROACTIVE PLANNING

Planning *before* expected population growth makes addressing these issues more cost-effective in the long-run





PROJECT AREA STATISTICS





- **126%** increase in population between 2020 and 2045
- 7,183 miles of stream
- 274,121 acres of FEMA 100 year floodplain (including lake area)
- **19%** increase in impervious surface from 2006 to 2016
- 86 cities, 8 counties, 2 water providers, 1 regional wastewater provider



	<u>Comprehensive Planning</u> 14
BENEFITS OF PARADIGM SHIFT	 Dissolve silos Improve delivery of consolidated, adaptive infrastructure <i>before</i> expected population growth Minimize duplication and providing resources
Collaborative Effort Complement Existing Programs	 Complementing Existing Regional Programs North Central Texas Council of Governments Common Vision Program Community management of Trinity River through DFW Limit impact of development through Corridor Development Certificate (CDC) Flood warning systems Enhanced state-of-the-art modeling tools Long-Range Transportation Planning Process Compliance with State Laws
Return on Investment	 Creating Positive Financial Outcomes Investment in stormwater infrastructure returns \$5 to \$7 for every \$1 invested*
Address Existing Challenges with Flood	 Dower community nood insurance premiums Provides connected open space Increased safety from flooding Human health benefits Recreation benefits *2017 "Natural Hazard Mitigation Saves" (National Institute of Building Sciences
Reduction Efforts	 Multi-hazard Mitigation Council) Creation of Resources and Tools to Support Communities Limited resources, staff expertise, competing priorities, piecemeal modeling Develop tools that define waterways, stormwater features Community avoids costs of development impacts & revenue loss







ANTICIPATED MAJOR PROJECT ELEMENTS





PROJECT PRODUCTS AND OUTCOMES



16

Transportation Infrastructure

- Review structure Elevation/ Culverts/ Model Growth
- Assess opportunities for "LEED" like certified transportation facilities
- Assess green parkway widths/ detention
- Safety
- Utilize technology/ integrating routing
- Better project prioritization to address low-lying structures

Flood Reduction

- Numerical models (meteorology, hydrology, hydraulics)
- Develop impact scenarios to assess flooding impacts through 2055
- Reduce risk
- Regulatory products for cities and counties
- Designated stormwater areas
- Trees, wetlands, detention areas

Environmental Stewardship

- Nature based and green stormwater infrastructure plan
- Preservation of riparian and other key natural areas
- Creation of mitigation areas/ wetland banking
- Eco-tourism and recreational assets
- Restoration plans
- Web-based tools to define areas for implementation and return on investment, stormwater reduction and other benefits

Other Planning Tools to Analyze and Mitigate Risk

- Stormwater
 infrastructure plans
- Emergency planning (HazMAP integration)
- Planning integration (Comprehensive plans, open space plans, etc.)
- Open space and connected open space plans
- Web-based tools such as City of Austin's Floodpro.com, FPTool.org, etc.
- Use of visualization tools (CHARM, EEBS, and others) to establish trade-offs
- Land management tools (planning tools, ordinances, checklists, etc.)
- Urban heat island impact tools

Foundational



Actions

Decisions

This Effort

Community Activities









Early 2020

2018 Concept Development	July 2019 Congressional Delegation on Project Concept	Submitted C Land Office Texas Water (TWDB) a Partners Request/I	omments to General e/Collaboration with Development Board and Other Funding /USACE Funding FEMA Base Level ngineering	June 15 NCTCOG Submitted Abridged Application to TWDB
March 20 NCTCO Member Up at NCTCO Offices	19 G I date)G	2019 Presentations to Various Audiences	April/May Partner G Developed S Worl TWDB Releas Infrastructu Abridged Ap	2020 Group Scope of sed Flood re Fund plication



TIMELINE AND FUNDING UPDATES



	SUBMITTED APPLICATIONS			ANTICIPATED/REQUESTED FUNDS OR APPLICATIONS*		
Funding Agency/ Funding Opportunity Name	TWDB (Flood Infrastructure Fund)	USACE (Various Authorities)	FEMA (Community Outreach and Mitigation Strategies)	Regional Transportation Council (Transportation Planning Dollars)	General Land Office (CDBG MIT or Other Funding Category)	
Requested Funding	\$3.0 Million	\$3.0 Million	\$80,000	\$3.0 Million	?	
Current Status	Submitting Abridged Application on June 15, 2020	Submitted to USACE Fort Worth District in March/Expect to hear June/July 2020	Submitted to FEMA in June; Phase 1 engagement to begin 01/1/2021	Included in Unified Planning Work Program	Anticipated Applications in FY2021	

*Anticipate applying to additional funding opportunities as they become available (ex. FEMA BRIC, GLO, TDEM, etc.) and working with partner organizations to identify project funding.



STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT







NEXT STEPS AND UPCOMING EFFORTS



- 1) Continue to apply for project funding
 - 1) Formal full application to TWDB (*if invited to apply*)
 - 2) General Land Office
 - 3) Others as applications open
- 2) Begin Phase 1 of engagement activities with partners (Estimated January 2021)
- 3) Begin project efforts as funding becomes available:
 - Data collection and inventory
 - Literature Review review many existing tools and resources, do not want to duplicate, but do want to leverage and build upon for this Project
 - Hydrology and hydraulics will be early portion of project
 - Continue leading/or collaborating in existing efforts happening in the project area
 - Texas Water Development Board Base Level Engineering
 - Federal Emergency Management Agency Base Level Engineering
 - NCTCOG Cooperative Technical Partnership Flood Risk Identification Projects for Mary's Creek, Harriet Creek, and Catherine Branch (ongoing or future projects)
 - InFRM Watershed Hydrology Assessment



QUESTIONS & CONTACT



NCTCOG

Edith Marvin, P.E. 817-695-9211 <u>emarvin@nctcog.org</u> Michael Morris, P.E. 817-695-9241 mmorris@nctcog.org

Tamara Cook, AICP, LEED GA 817-695-9221 tcook@nctcog.org Jeff Neal, PTP 817-608-2345 jneal@nctcog.org

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Jerry Cotter, P.E. 817-886-1549 Jerry.L.Cotter@USACE.army.mil

https://www.nctcog.org/envir/watershed-management