2022 Transportation Conformity

Appendix 12.18: MoSERS Methodology and Calculation Descriptions

3.0 IMPROVED PUBLIC TRANSIT -- LIGHT RAIL

3.1 System/Service Exp	ansion				
Strategy:	Increase ridership by providing new rail system services		Project Year: 2	2023	
Description:	Expansion of transit system or service can include the		Project		
•	addition of rail services through increased frequency or		Description:		
	route extension. Bus or paratransit services can be				
	expanded with new vehicles and/or route extensions.				
Application:	Large cities or communities with enough population density to support reasonably frequent transit service		Project Code:		
Variables:		Source		NO _x	voc
EF.	Speed-based running exhaust emission factor for affected roadw	av MOVES3	EFa:	0.11	0.04
B.	before implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehiclles in all roadway types)	.,	B.		
EF _{TV} :	Speed-based running exhaust emission factor for transit vehicle	DART	EF _{TV} :	0.00	0.00
F _{T. SOV} :	Percentage of people using a transit vehicle that previously were	MOSERS	F _{T. sov} :	0.40	0.40
N _{TR} :	New transit ridership (total ridership)	Project Specific	N _{TR} :	17,490	17,490
TEF _{AUTO} :	Auto trip-end emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/trip)	MOVES3	TEFAUTO:	0.37	0.47
TEF _{TV} :	Transit vehicle trip-end emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/trip) DART	TEF _{TV} :	0.00	0.00
TL _w :	Average auto trip length (to work) (miles)	COG Default	TL _w :	13.28	13.28
TL _{TV} :	Route Length of the Transit Vehicle (miles)	DART	TL _{TV} :	0.00	0.00
VT _{TV} :	Daily vehicle trips by transit vehicle	DART	VT _{TV} :	0.00	0.00
VT _P :	Reduction in number of daily automobile vehicle trips		VT _P :	6996.00	6996.00
	Vehicle miles traveled by transit vehicle		VMT _{TV} :	0.00	0.00
VMT _P :	Reduction in daily automobile VMT		VMT _P :	92906.88	92906.88
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of		Conversion	453.60	453.60
	emissions		Factor:		
Equation:				NO _x	voc
Λ = VT_ * TEE	Reduction in auto start emissions from trips reduced		۸.	2588 52	3288 12
	Reduction in auto start emissions from the reduced	1S	R. B.	10219 76	3716.28
	Increase in emissions from additional train starts	15	D. C:	0.00	0.00
	Increase in emissions from additional train starts		о.	0.00	0.00
	Where,		D.	0.00	0.00
	Number of new transit riders multiplied by the percentage of rider	s	VT⊳:	6996.00	6996.00
VMT _P = VT _P * TL _W	Number of vehicle trips reduced multiplied by the average auto tr	ip	VMT _R :	92906.88	92906.88
$VMT_{TV/BUS} = VT_{TV} * TL_{TV}$	Number of vehicle trips reduced multiplied by the average transit route length.		VMT _{TV/BUS} :	0.00	0.00
Results:	5			NO _x	voc
Daily Emission Reducti	on = (A + B – C – D) / Conversion Factor	Daily Emission Redu	ction (lbs/day) =	28.24	15.44
-	ſ	Daily Emission Reduct	tion (tons/day) =	0.01	0.01
Source: The Texas Guide	e to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texa	as Transportation Institu	te, August 2007		
Deat O and Deat D and ha	the second to make be a surrow that DADT surfaces willing a start back to a	il uchich has zere emie	at a second s		

Part C and Part D are both equal to zero because the DART system utilizes electric light rail, which has zero-emission train equipment. Local assumptions are calculated from the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model and professional judgment of the Dallas Area Rapid Transit and North Central Texas Council of Governments staff.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs. Blue - Project Spesific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

4.0 High-Occupancy Vehicle Facilities

4.1 Freeway HOV Fac	cilities				
Strategy:	Reduction of emissions by decreasing VMT and increased average speeds on the lane.	Project Year: 2023			
Description:	Separate lanes on controlled access highways are created for vehicles containing a specified number of passengers. The lane may be concurrent flow, barrier/buffer separated, or have a separate right-of-way.	Project Description:			
Application:	Highways in areas of traffic congestion with sufficient available right-of-way.	Project Code:			
MOSERS Formula:	Emission Benefit (lbs/day) =[A + B + C] (grams/day)/CF (grams/lbs)				
	A= V _{HOV} * (EF _b -EF _a) * L	Change in running exhaust emissions due to speed improvement in HOV lanes.			
	B= (V _{GP,B} * EF _b - V _{GP,A} * EF _a) * L "assume negligible"	Change in running exhaust emissions in general purpose lanes as a result of vehicle shifted away from general purpose lanes.			
	C= VTr (TEFauto + EF b * TLw)	Reduction of emission fro running exhaust) from trip	m (auto start exhaust reduction.	+ auto	
	VTr = Np * { Ft*Ft,sov + Frs*Frs,sov} * (1-1/AVOrs)}	Reduction in daily automo	bile trips.		
Assumptions:		Source		Defaults	
AVO _{RS} :	Average vehicle occupancy of rideshare (persons/vehicle)	COG Default	AVO _{RS} :	2.140	
F _{RS} :	Percentage of people attracted to the HOV facility using ride share (decimal)	COG Default	F _{RS} :	0.832	
F _{RS,SOV} :	Percentage of people attracted to the HOV facility using ride share that previously were vehicle drivers (decimal)	COG Default	F _{RS,SOV} :	0.561	
F _T :	Percentage of people attracted to the HOV facility using a transit vehicle (decimal)	COG Default	F _T :	0.143	
F _{T,SOV} :	Percentage of people using a transit vehicle that previously were vehicle drivers (decimal)	COG Default	F _{T,SOV} :	0.561	
TL _w :	Average auto trip length (miles)	COG Default	TL _w :	20	
P _G	Annual Population Growth Rate assume 2.5%/Year	COG Default	P _G	0.025	
Volume Fraction:	24 Hour Volume to Peak hour Volume Fraction. (peak hour volume / 24 hour volume)	COG Default	V_{FC}	0.380	
VT _{RF}	(FT * FT,SOV + FRS * FRS,SOV) * (1 - 1/AVORS)		VT _{RF}	0.291	
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions		Conversion Factor:	453.60	
Variables:		Source			
V _H	Daily Volume of HOV lane	Data management.	V _H .	20049	

V _H . Daily Volume of HOV lane	Data management. DART Traffic Counts	3	V _{H'}	20049	20049.000
V _Y Daily Volume Year			V _Y	2010	
Projected Daily Volume of HOV lane	Estimate	V _{HOV}	276	38	27638
V _{GP,B} Volume of general purpose lane , before implementation of HOV.			$V_{GP,B}$		0.000
$V_{\text{GP},\text{A}}$ Volume of General purpose lane , after implementation of HOV.			$V_{GP,A}$		0.000
N _P Total number of expected people using the HOV lanes per day. If Restricted HOV use only peak our Volume [Np =2.14*V _{HOV}]	Data management. DART Traffic Counts calculated.	s or	N _P	59145	59145
Np = 2.14 * V _{HOV}					
L Center Line Miles	Project Spe	cific	L	6.057	6.057

4.1 HOV

2.140

0.832

0.561

0.143

0.561

20.000

0.025

0.380

0.291

453.600

4.0 High-Occupancy Vehicle Facilities

Emission Factors:	Speed		NO _x	voc
TEF_{AUTO}: Auto trip-end emission factor (NO _x , VOC, or CO) (grams/trip)		TEF _{AUTO} :	0.37	0.47
EF: Speed-based running exhaust emission factor before implementation of HOV facility (NO _x , VOC, or CO) (grams/mile) (assume 43 mph, Light Duty Vehicles on fwy)	43mph	EF _B :	0.06	0.02
EF _{H,A} : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor on HOV facility (NO _x , VOC, or CO) (estimate) (assume 51 mph, Light Duty Vehicles on fwy)	51mph	EF _{H,A} :	0.06	0.02
EF _{GP,A} : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor after implementation of HOV facility (NO _x , VOC, or CO) (general purpose lanes) (estimate) (assume 43 mph, Light Duty Vehicles on fwy)	43mph	EF _{GP,A} :	0.06	0.02

Emission Calculations:				NO _x	voc
$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{H},\mathbf{A}} * (\mathbf{EF}_{\mathbf{B}} - \mathbf{EF}_{\mathbf{H},\mathbf{A}}) * \mathbf{L}$	Change in running exhaust emissions from vehicles shifting from general purpose lanes to HOV lanes		A:	0.000	0.000
B = (V _{GP,B} * E _{FB} - V _{GP,A} *EF _{GP,A}) * L	Change in running exhaust emissions of vehicles in general purpose lanes as a result of vehicles shifted away from general purpose lanes [assume negligible]	[B =~ 0]	B:	0.000	0.000
C = VT _R [TEF _{AUTO} +EF _B *TLw]	Reduction in Emissions from Trip reduction- including auto start exhaust emissions and running exhaust emission from the entire trip	[C= (Np*VT _{RF}) *(TEF+EF _B *TLw)]	C:	27056.707	14993.207
VT _R = N _P * (F _T * F _{T,SOV} + F _{RS} * F _{RS,SOV}) * (1 - 1/AVO _{RS})	Reduction in daily Automobile Vehicle trips	[VTr = Np * VT _{RF}]	unit of measure: VT _R :	grams/Day 17233.5717	grams/day 17233.5717

Results:		NOx	voc
Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B + C) / Conversion Factor	Daily Emission Reduction (Ibs/day) =	59.65	33.05
Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B + C) / Conversion Factor	Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =	0.03	0.02
Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007			
Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the progra Blue - Project Specific Input Yellow - Assumptions Green - Emission Factors	am or calculated using these inp	uts.	

Speed and average volume on general-purpose lanes before and after implementation of the HOV facility are equal for part B. Local assumptions are calculated

5.0 Employer-Based Transportation Management Programs

5.1 Transit/Rideshare Services - Vanpools

Strategy:	Reduction of vehicle trips and emissions through increased used of transit, carpooling, or vanpooling.	Project Year: 2023
Description:	Employers or groups of employers in activity centers provide transportation service to and from the work site to transit facilities and homes. The services can include subscription buses, midday and park- and-ride shuttles, and Guaranteed Ride Home programs.	Project Description:

Application: Large companies or groups of cooperating businesses.

Project Code: 11048

Variables:			NOx	VOC
EF _A :	Speed-based running exhaust emission factor after implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicle on all roadway types)	EF _A :	0.11	0.04
EF _B :	Speed-based running exhaust emission factor before implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicle on all roadway types)	EF _B :	0.11	0.04
N _{VA} :	Number of vehicles after implementation (equal to number of vanpools)	N _{VA} :	83.00	83.00
N _{VOR} :	Vehicle Occupancy	N _{VA} :	9.00	9.00
N _{VB} :	Number of vehicles before implementation (equal to vanpool occupancy * number of vanpools)	N _{VB} :	747.00	747.00
TEF _{AUTO} :	Auto trip-end emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/trip)	TEF _{AUTO} :	0.37	0.47
TL _A :	Average auto trip length after implementation (miles)	TL _A :	85.00	85.00
TL _B :	Average auto trip length before implementation (miles)	TL _B :	35.00	35.00
VT _A :	Vehicle trips after implementation	VT _A :	166.00	166.00
VT _B :	Vehicle trips before implementation	VT _B :	1494.00	1494.00
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Conversion Factor:	453.60	453.60
Equation:			NO _x	voc
Equation: A = VT _B * 1	'L_B * EF _B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation	A :	NO_x 5751.90	VOC 2091.60
Equation: A = VT _B * 1 B = VT _A * 1	 "L_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation "L_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation 	A: B:	NO _X 5751.90 1552.10	VOC 2091.60 564.40
Equation: A = VT _B * 1 B = VT _A * 1 C = (VT _B - VT _A) *	 ^TL_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation ^TL_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation TEF_{AUTO} Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center 	A: B: C:	NO _x 5751.90 1552.10 491.36	VOC 2091.60 564.40 624.16
Equation: $A = VT_B * T$ $B = VT_A * T$ $C = (VT_B - VT_A) *$ Modified from the for Reduction Strategie	 ^TL_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation ^TL_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation TEF_{AUTO} Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center ollowing Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission is, August 2007 	A: B: C:	NO _x 5751.90 1552.10 491.36	VOC 2091.60 564.40 624.16
Equation: $A = VT_B * 1$ $B = VT_A * 1$ $C = (VT_B - VT_A) *$ Modified from the for Reduction Strategie $VT_A = NV_A * 2$	 ^TL_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation ^TL_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation TEF_{AUTO} Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center Notice: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission is, August 2007 trips/day Number of vehicles before or after strategy implementation 	A: B: C: VT _A :	NO _x 5751.90 1552.10 491.36 166.00	VOC 2091.60 564.40 624.16 166.00
Equation: $A = VT_B * T$ $B = VT_A * T$ $C = (VT_B - VT_A) *$ Modified from the for Reduction Strategie $VT_A = NV_A * 2$ $VT_B = NV_B * 2$	 ^TL_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation ^TL_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation TEF_{AUTO} Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center ollowing Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission is, August 2007 trips/day Number of vehicles before or after strategy implementation trips/day 	Α: Β: C: VT _A : VT _B :	NO _x 5751.90 1552.10 491.36 166.00 1494.00	VOC 2091.60 564.40 624.16 166.00 1494.00
Equation: $A = VT_B * T$ $B = VT_A * T$ $C = (VT_B - VT_A) *$ Modified from the for Reduction Strategie $VT_A = NV_A * 2$ $VT_B = NV_B * 2$ Results:	 ^TL_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation ^TL_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation TEF_{AUTO} Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center Note that the start exhaust emission of the start exhaust emission in the start exhaust emission in the start exhaust emission in the start exhaust emission from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center Number of the Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission is, August 2007 trips/day Number of vehicles before or after strategy implementation trips/day 	A: B: C: VT _A : VT _B :	NO _x 5751.90 1552.10 491.36 166.00 1494.00 NO _x	VOC 2091.60 564.40 624.16 166.00 1494.00 VOC
Equation: $A = VT_B * T$ $B = VT_A * T$ $C = (VT_B - VT_A) *$ Modified from the for Reduction Strategie $VT_A = NV_A * 2$ $VT_B = NV_B * 2$ Results: Daily Emission Re	 ^TL_B * EF_B Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation ^TL_A * EF_A Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation TEF_{AUTO} Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center Notify Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission is, August 2007 trips/day Number of vehicles before or after strategy implementation trips/day Multiplied by two trips per day (round trip). duction = [(A - B) + C] / Conversion Factor 	A: B: C: VT _A : VT _B : Daily Emission Reduction (Ibs/day) =	NO _x 5751.90 1552.10 491.36 166.00 1494.00 NO _x 10.34	VOC 2091.60 564.40 624.16 166.00 1494.00 VOC 4.74

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texas Transportation Institute, August 2007

Local assumptions for vanpool projects are calculated from them monthly performance measures reported by the Dallas Area Rapid Transit and the Fort Worth Transit Authority for fiscal years 2004 to 2010. This analysis also incorporates an assumption of equal emission factors, trips, and trip length before and after implementation of the vanpool programs.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs. Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

1

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.1 Traffic Signalization

 Strategy: Traffic signalization projects can measurably reduce CO and
 Project Year: 2023

 HC emissions by decreasing vehicular stops and idling, which
 would in turn reduce travel times and traffic delays.

Description: Traffic signalization increases the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections by improving interconnection and coordination of signals, leading to reductions in travel times, delays, and stopand-go driving. Traffic signalization can be as simple as updating the equipment and/or software or improving the timing plan. Because signal improvements reduce travel times and stop-and-go driving conditions, they can measurably reduce CO and HC emissions as well as reducing fuel consumption. Project Level 1 Improvement: Description: Basic Traffic Signal Retiming.

Project Code:

Application: Major arterials or high capacity roadways with uncoordinated traffic signals.

Variables:		Source		NOx	voc
EF _i ':	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph for all vehicle types in all roadway).	MOVES3	EF _I ':	1.01	0.43
EF _i :	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		EF _I :	2.53	1.08
D _B :	Time delay before project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D _B :	31.00	31.00
D _A :	Time delay after project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D _A :	25.00	25.00
V :	Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	Project Specific	v :	19,590	19,590
P,H _R	Peak Hour Ration	COG Default	Р,Н _R	0.46	0.46
V _{D,P} :	Average daily volume during the peak period		V _{D,P} :	9011.40	9011.40
V _{D,OP} :	Average daily volume during the off-peak period		V _{D,OP} :	10578.60	10578.60
DR:	Reduction in time delay (seconds)	COG Default	DR:	6.00	6.00
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	Conversion Factor:	453.60	453.60
Equation:				NO _x	voc
A= (D _B - D _A) * EF _I * V _{D,P}	Change in exhaust emissions from improved speed during the peak and off-peak periods.		A :	37.92	16.15
B= (D _B - D _A) * EF _I * V _{D,OP}	Change in idling exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak and off-peak periods.		В:	44.52	18.95
Results:				NO _x	voc
Daily Emission Re	eduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor	Daily Emiss	sion Reduction (Ibs/day) =	0.18	0.08
		Daily Emiss	sion Reduction (tons/day) =	0.00	0.00
Source: The Toyo	Cuide to Accorted Mobile Source Emission Poduction Aug	act 2007			

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007 Local variable calculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and the Dallas-Fort Worth Travel Demand Model. Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs. Blue - Project Specific Input Yellow - Assumptions

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.2 Traffic Operations: Intersection Improvements

Strategy:	Reduce congestion in corridors and intersections, improving traffic speeds and reducing idling times, leading to lower emission and improved traffic system efficiency.	Project Year: 2023		
Description:	Traffic operation improvements, similar to traffic signalization improvements primary focus on reducing congestion on local and arterial streets by improving the systems efficiency. Generally, each action will improve traffic flow and safety. Many roadway changes require only signage and pavement	Project Description:		

many roadway changes require only signage and pavement marking changes with little new construction and are relatively quick to implement.

Application: Major arterials or high capacity roadways.

Project Code:

Variables:		Source		NOx	voc
EF _i ':	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph)	MOVES3	EFi':	1.01	0.43
EF _I :	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get		EF ₁ :	2.53	1.08
D _B :	Time delay before project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D _B :	31.00	31.00
D _A :	Time delay after project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D _A :	25.00	25.00
V:	Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	Project Specific	V :	19,590.00	19,590.00
P,H _R	Peak Hour Ration	COG Default	P,H _R	0.46	0.46
V _{D,P} :	Average daily volume during the peak period		V _{D,P} :	9011.40	9011.40
V _{D,OP} :	Average daily volume during the off-peak period		V _{D,OP} :	10578.60	10578.60
DR:	Reduction in time delay (seconds)	COG Default	DR:	6.00	6.00
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	Conversion Factor:	453.60	453.60
Equation:				NO _x	voc
A= (D _B - D _A) * EF _I * V _{D,P}	Change in exhaust emissions from improved speed during the peak and off-peak periods.		A:	37.92	16.15
B= (D _B - D _A) * EF _I * V _{D,OP}	Change in idling exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak and off-peak periods.		В:	44.52	18.95
Results:				NOx	voc
Daily Emission R	teduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor	Daily Emiss	ion Reduction (Ibs/day) =	0.18	0.08
		Daily Emiss	ion Reduction (tons/day) =	0.00	0.00

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007

Local variable calculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and the Dallas-Fort Worth Travel Demand Model.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs. Blue - Project Specific Input Yellow - Assumptions

Regional ITS Benefits

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements

7.4 Intelligent Transportation Systems - Regional Benefits Calculation Methodology - 2023 Emissions

Reduction in Estimated Emissions in a County (NOx and VOC) = (Freeways + Toll roads + HOV Lanes Emissions) * (% Recurrent Congestion Eliminated) * (% ITS Coverage)

County	NOX (tons/day)	VOC (tons/day)	% ITS Coverage	% Emission (Nonrecurrent)1	% Recurrent Congestion Eliminated	% Nonrecurrent Congestion Eliminated1
Collin	2.24	0.34	88%	N/A	5%	N/A
Dallas	13.05	2.12	87%	N/A	5%	N/A
Denton	2.32	0.32	89%	N/A	5%	N/A
Tarrant	6.61	1.18	88%	N/A	5%	N/A
Total	24.22	3.96				

	Collin	Dallas	Denton	Tarrant	Four County Total (tons/day)	Four County Total (Ibs/day)
Reduction in Estimated NOX Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Nonrecurrent Congestion1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Reduction in Estimated NOX Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Recurrent Congestion	0.10	0.57	0.10	0.29	1.06	2,120.63
Reduction in Estimated Total NOX Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Congestion	0.10	0.57	0.10	0.29	1.06	2,120.63
Reduction in Estimated VOC Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Nonrecurrent Condestion1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		107	107	1070	107	
Reduction in Estimated VOC Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Recurrent Congestion	0.02	0.09	0.01	0.05	0.17	346.89
Reduction in Estimated Total VOC Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Congestion	0.02	0.09	0.01	0.05	0.17	346.89

Note: The methodology doesn't include nonrecurring congestion or off-peak condition

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.5 Grade Separation (Road-Road)

Strategy:	Reduce congestion in corridors by reducing idling times and leading to lower emissions and improved traffic system efficiency.	Project Year: 2023
Description:	Grade Separations increases the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections by reduction in travel times, delays, and stop-and- go driving.	Project Description:
Application:	Major arterials or high capacity roadways.	Project Code:

Variables:		Source		NO _x	VOC
EF _l ':	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph)	MOVES3	EF _l ':	1.01	0.43
EF _i :	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		EF _I :	2.53	1.08
D _B :	Time delay before project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D _B :	45.00	45.00
D _A :	Time delay after project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D _A :	0.00	0.00
V	Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	Project Specific	v :	94,685	94,685
P,H _R	Peak Hour Ration	COG Default	P,H _R	0.46	0.46
V _{D,P} :	Average daily volume during the peak period		V _{D,P} :	43555.10	43555.10
V _{D,OP} :	Average daily volume during the off-peak period		V _{D,OP} :	51129.90	51129.90
DR:	Reduction in time delay (seconds)	COG Default	DR:	45.00	45.00
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	Conversion Factor:	453.60	453.60
Equation:				NOx	voc
A= (D _B - D _A) * EF _I * V _{D.F}	Change in exhaust emissions from improved speed , during the peak and off-peak periods.		A:	1374.71	585.27
B= (D _B - D _A) * EF _I * V _{D,OF}	^r Change in idling exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak and off-peak periods.		В:	1613.79	687.06
Results:				NOx	voc
Daily Emission F	Reduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor	Daily Emiss	ion Reduction (Ibs/day) =	6.59	2.80
		Daily Emiss	ion Reduction (tons/day) =	0.00	0.00
Source: The Tex	as Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, Au	gust 2007	with Travial Dames	d Madal	
Local variable ca	iculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and t	ne Dallas-Fort WC	nui fravei Demar		

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs.

Blue - Project Specific Input	
Yellow - Assumptions	
Green - Emission Factors	

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements

7.5 Railroad Grade Separation

Strategy: Grades in corrio and imp	separation of rail lines and arterial streets reduces congestion lors by reducing idling times and leading to lower emissions proved traffic system efficiency.	Project Year: 2023

 Description:
 Railroad grade separations remove periodic traffic delays on major
 Project

 roadways by raising or lowering either the rail line or the roadway and
 Description/

 permitting more efficient flow of traffic at major rail crossings.
 Code:

Application: Arterials with delays cause by at-grade rail crossings.

Variables:		Source		NO _x	voc
EF _i ':	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile). (Emission factor at 2.5 mph).	MOVES3	EF,':	1.01	0.43
EF _I :	Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		EF _i :	2.53	1.08
t _c :	Average amount of time rail crossing is closed due to train crossing (hours/crossing)	TRE	t _c :	0.025	0.025
t _H :	Duration of analysis period (hours)		t _H :	24.00	24.00
t _F	Frequency of Train per analysis period.	TRE	t _F	30.00	30.00
t _{H,C} :	Hours per analysis period roadway is closed due to train crossing	TRE	t _{H,C} :	0.75	0.75
V:	Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	TxDOT	V:	19,656.49	19,656.49
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	Conversion	453.60	453.60

Factor:

Equation:		NO _x	voc
A = $t_{H,C}$ / t_H * V The proportion of arterial traffic affected by rail crossing delays	A :	614.27	614.27
B = t _c / 2 * EF ₁ The idling emissions resulting from affected traffic assumed to be idling half of the average time the readway is closed per train crossing	В:	0.03	0.01

Results:		NO _X	VOC
Daily Emission Reduction = (A * B)/Conversion Factor	Daily Emission Reduction 0.0 (Ibs/day) =		0.02
	Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =	0.00	0.00

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies , August 2007

Local variable calculations utilize data from the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model, Federal Railroad Administration, Union Pacific Railroad, the Association of American Railroads (Railroad Facts" 1999 Edition, the Trinity Railway Express June 2003 Schedule, and the Regional Rail Corridor Study Consultant Team: URS Corporation, Carter-Burgess, and Lonnie Blaydes Consulting.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs.

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

8.0 Park-and-Ride/Fringe Parking

8.1 New Facilities	;	
Strategy:	Reduction of vehicle trips and VMT by enhancements of transit system and ridesharing.	Project Year: 2023
Description:	Construction of new park-and-ride facilities in locations remote from the central city area or major business activity centers or on the fringes of major employment centers. Lots or garages are constructed adjacent to or very near transit facilities or heavily traveled corridors. These lots are designed to be conducive to several modes of transportation including pedestrian and bicycle facilities. New facilities will require coordination with other transportation agencies, and political and citizen groups.	Project Description:

Application: Cities with a population density great enough to warrant projects that encourage carpooling

Variables:	Source		NO _x	VOC
EF _B : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor before implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicles in all roadway types)	MOVES3	EF _B :	0.11	0.04
N _{PK} : Number of parking spaces	Project Specific	N _{PK} :	499	499
U _P : Parking lot utilization rate (estimate)	COG Default	U _P :	0.85	0.85
TL_w: Average auto work trip length (miles)	COG Default	TL _w :	20.00	20.00
TL_{PR}: Average auto trip length from home to parking facility (miles)	COG Default	TL _{PR} :	4.00	4.00
Conversion Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of Factor: emissions		Conversion Factor:	453.60	453.60

Project Code:

Results			NO _x	voc
Daily Emissions Reduction=	$[N_{PK}$ * U_{P} * $(TL_{W}$ - $TL_{PR})$ * EF_{B} * 2 trips/day] / Conversion Factor	Daily Emission Reduction (Ibs/day) =	3.29	1.20
		Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =	0.00	0.00

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texas Transportation Institute, August 2007. Local assumptions are calculated from data generated by the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model, and from professional judgment of the North Central Texas Council of Governments staff.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs.

Blue - Project Specific Input Yellow - Assumptions Green - Emission Factors

11.0 Bicycle and Pedestrian Programs

11.1 Bicycle and Pe	destrian Lanes or Paths				
Strategy:	Replacement of vehicle trips and VMT with bicycle and pedestrian travel.		Project Year: 2	2023	
Description:	A wide variety of bicycle and pedestrian projects are available to practitioners for implementation in air quality mitigation efforts. Funding for these types of programs has increased dramatically under ISTEA and TEA-21. Examples of such projects include (but are not limited to): reallocation of right-of-way to accommodate bicycles and pedestrians, new trails, median refuges at key intersections, improved connections between residential areas and transit stops.		Project Description:		
Application:	Areas where travel distances (residential/work or retail sites, for example) are short enough for bicycle/ pedestrian travel to be practical.		Project Code:		
Variables:		Source		NOx	voc
EF _B :	Speed-based running exhaust emission factor for participants' trip before participating in the bike/pedestrian program (NO _x or VOC) (grams/mile) (assume 34 mph, LDV and arterial roadway types).	MOVES 3	EF _B :	0.06	0.02
TEF _{AUTO} :	Auto trip-end emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/trip)	MOVES 3	TEF _{AUTO} :	0.37	0.47
TL _B :	Average auto trip length before implementation (miles)	COG default	TL _B :	1.00	1.00
N _{BW} :	Number of trips utilizing the bike/pedestrian facility	Project Specific	N _{BW} :	2,020	2,020
N _{BW} is calculated usi	Where, ng bike needs indices (BNI) and pedestrian needs indices (PNI).				
Conversion Factor:	Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions		Conversion Factor:	453.6	453.6
Results:				NOx	VOC
Daily Emissions Re Factor	duction = (N _{BW} * TL _B * EF _B) + (N _{BW} * TEF _{AUTO})/ Conversion		Daily Emission Reduction (Ibs/day) =	1.91	2.18
			Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =	0.00	0.00

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, August 2007 Final Units of measure: grams/day.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs.

Blue - Project Specific Input Yellow - Assumptions