

# **Technical Advisory Group Meeting**

October 25, 2024



Funded by the Texas General Land Office, Community Development Block Grant, Disaster Recovery Program.



Also Funded by the Texas Water Development Board and Texas Department of Transportation.

## **Update on Project Progress**

Progress to Date

Contracting Update



## **Progress to Date**

**3** Rounds of meetings with stakeholders in the study area

- 6 Technical Advisory Group meetings
- **5** Steering Committee meetings
- 3 Workshops conducted
  - Water Rights & Green Stormwater Infrastructure
  - E&D Flooding, Stormwater, & Water Quality Programs
  - Green Asset Management Workshop
- 9 Visits to observe challenges faced by communities
- Equity Engagement Plan written (FEMA)
- Stakeholder Engagement Plan written
- Literature review has been completed
- 1D H&H Pilot Study completed
- H&H SOPs drafted
- H&H consultant work launched West
- Optimization Study underway
- Stacking Model underway





# **Contracting Update – TSI-North**

#### **July 2024**

Requests for Proposals for H&H engineering, transportation planning, and environmental economics services

## September 2024

Highland Economics to Executive Board for environmental economics services; negotiations on interlocal agreements

### November 2024

All partners expected to be under contract and work is underway

#### **June 2024**

Contract execution with Texas General Land Office

#### August 2024

Review of proposals, selection of consultants

### **October 2024**

Halff Associates to Executive Board for H&H engineering and transportation planning; negotiations on interlocal agreements



# **Contracting Update – TSI-West**

### May 2024

Contract extension from Texas Department of Transportation (match funds)

### September 2024

Contract extension from Texas Water Development Board

#### August 2024

Approval on partners' contract extension language from Texas Water Development Board November-December 2024

Contract extensions with partners



# **Outreach to Local Governments**

### Equity Based Outreach Site Visits and StoryMap Feedback



## FEMA Equity-Based Outreach Grant

- \$100,000
- Supports outreach in both West and North study areas
- FEMA definition: Fair, just, impartial treatment
  - Communities of color
  - Members of LGBTQ+ community
  - Persons with disabilities
  - Persons who may face discrimination based on religion, national origin, and persons with Limited English Proficiency
  - Persons living in rural areas



### Stakeholder Engagement Plan

1. Identifying Stakeholders

- 2. Prioritizing Local Governments for Outreach
- 3. Preparing for Outreach to Local Governments
- 4. Following Up After Outreach to Local Governments

#### 5. Addressing Equity

6. Reaching Rural and Agricultural Audiences

7. Reaching Business Audiences





## **Communities Visited**

- Cross Timber
- Fort Worth
- Newark
- Paradise
- Ponder
- Springtown
- Venus
- White Settlement
- Wise County





## **Common Themes from Community Visits**

- Developer Relationships and Policy
  - "Relationship depends on the developer."
  - "Communities are changing their development criteria to remove "grey areas"
  - S.B. 2038: ETJ Bill
  - H.B. 3699: Shot Clock Bill
- Growth Challenges and Opportunities
  - Everyone is trying to get ahead of growth
  - "Land availability is a challenge."
  - Prioritizing high-density developments
- Green Stormwater Infrastructure
  - Counties are interested incorporating GSI for aquifer recharge to address water supply
  - "GSI is a good idea, concerned with how to maintain."
  - "Need more resources."





# StoryMap Feedback

This feedback was obtained during the September Subarea Meetings:

- Do you think it is feasible to distribute TSI final products and recommendations via the <u>StoryMap</u>?
  - "Graphics and StoryMap help visually deliver project goals and strategies."
  - "Have everything in one place to make it easy for communities to find."
- What components of the StoryMap did you find most interesting or useful?
  - It tells a story
  - Links
  - Maps
  - Facts and figures
- What additional information would you like included in the StoryMap?
  - Pictures of cities before and after flooding
  - Direct links to resources and websites
  - Sign up for future meetings



# **Technical Topics**

H&H Pilot Study, Optimization, and Stacking Model Updates



# Hydrology

**Developed SOP and** enhancing hydrology (including new flow locations) in pilot areas and larger West area:

- Mary's Creek
- Village Creek
- Mountain Creek
- Clear Fork
- West Fork



TSI Proiect West Study Region **HEC-HMS Model Development SOP** 

#### May 2024

1. Overview of the HMS Model Development for TSI2					
2. Data Sources2					
2.1 GIS Data 2					
2.2 Model Data 3					
3. Subbasin Loca	tions	3			
4. HEC-HMS Met	hodology	4			
4.1 Pilot Exam	ble	4			
4.2 Subbasin D	1.2 Subbasin Delineations in HEC-HMS				
4.3 Update HE	.3 Update HEC-HMS Element Names and Descriptions				
4.4 Initial HMS Parameters Calculations					
4.5 Calibration	to InFRM WHA Results	17			
4.6 Update the	HEC-HMS Basin Model for TSI 2020 Conditions	20			
4.6.1 TSI Existing Conditions for 2020		20			
4.6.2 Run the 100-yr Storm for 2020 Conditions					
4.7 Null 131 20.	4.7 Run 1si 2020 storm scenarios 2				
4.8 Woder Documentation 22 4.0 Interim Project A Final Existing Conditions NEC MAR Model 23					
4.10 Undate the	HEC-HMS Basin Model for TSI Eutrus Conditions				
4.10.1 TSI 207	0 Future Conditions Basin Model	23			
4.10.2 Run th	100-yr Storm for 2070 Future Conditions	23			
4.10.3 Run TSI Storm Scenarios for Future Conditions		24			
4.11 Model D	ocumentation	25			
4.12 Final Review 5 - Final Future Conditions HEC-HMS Model					
5. Additional Considerations for the Hydrology of the West Fork25					

- Delineate additional subbasins in **HEC-HMS**
- 2. Update HMS element names and descriptions
- Calculate initial HMS parameters 3.
- Calibrate to InFRM WHA results 4.
- Update the HMS basin model for TSI 5. current and future conditions
- Run TSI storm scenarios 6
- Model documentation 7.
- Submit final HMS model for review 8 and use for team members



Bridgeport Pilot Area

Mountain Creek



### Hydrology Enhancement Example: Eagle Mountain Pilot







Final hydrology delineation for TSI Eagle Mountain Pilot Area

## **Hydraulics**

Developed SOP and enhancing hydraulic models to inform flooding considerations:

- Defining approach for enhancing Base Level Engineering (BLE)
  - Exploring 1D vs 2D model considerations
  - Testing approaches, adding detail, urban drainage, determining environmental constraints, establish recurrence intervals, incorporate current/future flows, optimization scripting, etc.



Defining TSI HEC-RAS Modeling Process for:

- 1. 1D Individual Models
- 2. 1D Combined Models
- 3. 2D Modeling



### Hydraulics Data Source: Base Level Engineering (BLE)

#### What?

Watershed-wide engineering modeling method that leverages high resolution ground elevation, automated model building techniques, and manual model review to prepare broad and accurate flood risk information.

#### Why?

Centralized and available flood hazard analysis to support floodplain management activities and development review, while increasing risk awareness for individuals.

### Outcome:

- Quickly determine the flood risk for various events throughout multiple watersheds at various recurrence intervals (i.e., 10yr, 100yr, 500yr).
- Allows Federal, State, and local governments, as well as individuals, to access and use flood risk information.







## **North Study Area: Pecan Creek Pilot Study**

### **Pecan Creek Pilot Study**

The purpose of this Pecan Creek H&H Pilot study is to establish a technical approach and provide foundational analysis that can be expanded through the larger North DFW TSI study. This includes investigation, data collection, and H&H model enhancements for existing and future conditions within the Pecan Creek Area.

#### **Deliverables:**

• Enhanced hydrologic modeling for the Pecan Creek Area of the Trinity River Watershed Hydrology Assessment (WHA) study

• Enhanced hydraulic modeling for the Pecan Creek Area of the FEMA Base Level Engineering (BLE) study

 Coordination with planning, transportation, and environmental reviews

• Retrieval and documentation of existing H&H information for inclusion in literature review

• Summary of findings and recommendations for expanded services





# **Technical Topics**

**Optimization Overview** 



# **TSI Optimization Overview**

- The optimization study aims to model ideal location and sizing for detention ponds and consider potential alternatives (e.g., GSI/NBS) to reduce downstream flows due to anticipated changes in imperviousness, using updated HEC-HMS models.
- The study considers input from the transportation (facilities at risk, vulnerable areas) and environmental (GSI/NBS, flood-prone areas) perspectives.
  - Specifically, the GSI and NBS suitability index helps to provide a foundation for where GSI/NBS can be proposed.
  - Investigating ways to incorporate transportation elements into the TSI optimization effort is going.



## **TSI Optimization:** Eagle Mountain HEC-HMS Model

No. of Subbasins: 41

No. of Reaches: 42

Outlet (Sink): 1

Total Area: 75.2 sq mi.

Avg. Increase in Imperviousness: +24.89% (max: 46.88%) Avg. Decrease in Lag Time : -0.41 hrs (max: -0.67 hrs)

Without Inflow

Sink Discharge (2020): 40,252 cfs

Sink Discharge (2070): 51,143 cfs

10,891 cfs increase

Theoretical Storage Required = 6,211 acre-ft





### **TSI Optimization: Storage-Discharge Curve**



## **TSI Optimization:** Storage-Discharge Curve Multipliers

Storage values are multiplied with different multipliers while the discharge values are kept constant resulting in different variants of the original storage-discharge curves with different slopes.



## **TSI Optimization: Pilot Study Methodology**



integrating Transportation & Stormwater Infrastructure

### **TSI Optimization: Most Optimal Solution** (1 Discharge Limit)

Junctions	Description	Limiting Discharge (cfs)
Sink	Outlet of the Basin	40252



Peak Discharge at Sink: **40,185** cfs Total Storage: **4,123** Ac-ft

#### Reference

Sink Discharge (2020): 40,252 cfs

Sink Discharge (2070): 51,143 cfs

Theoretical Storage Required = 6,211 Ac-ft



### **TSI Optimization: Most Optimal Solution** (5 Discharge Limits)

Junctions	Description	Limiting Discharge (cfs)
Blue_Cr_J60	Crosses SH114	11085
West_Fork_J120blw	Merges with Hog Branch	20648
West_Fork_J210	Merges with Darrett Creek	27050
West_Fork_J220	Merges with Briar Creek	38866
Sink	Outlet of the Basin	40252



Peak Discharge at Sink: **39,191** cfs Total Storage: **5,472** Ac-ft

#### Reference

Sink Discharge (2020): 40,252 cfs

Sink Discharge (2070): **51,143** cfs

Theoretical Storage Required = 6,211 Ac-ft



## **TSI Optimization:** Incorporating Transportation

- We are investigating methods to prioritize additional junctions at which to restrict flow by utilizing transportation data, such as bridge and culvert data from TxDOT.
- An example of two attributes (historical significance and average daily traffic, ADT) is shown.





# **Technical Topics**

**Stacking Model Update** 



### **Approach to Flood Risk Reduction Flood susceptibility mapping**

Indicator method: Develop a flood susceptibility map using a GIS stacking model that includes four categories of conditioning factors: Environmental, Socioeconomical, Infrastructural, and Institutional

Hydromorphological

Distance from river

Flow accumulation

Stream density

Stream order

Flow direction

concentration

Curve number

Land use/cover

Imperviousness or

Time of

NDVI

NDWI

NDBI

#### Environmental

SPI

STI

٠

#### Socio-economical

- Social vulnerability index
- Population density

#### Infrastructural

- Distance from transportation network
- · Distance from NRCS BMPs (ex. water harvesting catchment, pumping plant, roof runoff structure)

#### Institutional

Distance from USGS streamflow monitoring gauges



Geology (lithology)

Soil hydrologic group

Topographical

Slope

Elevation

LS factor

Curvature

Aspect

Meteorological

· Rainfall intensity

 Rainfall duration Rainfall frequency

TWI

TRI

Geological

Note: Factors are summarized based on a literature review from 30 peerreviewed journal articles over the past three years. All these factors could be considered in TSI study according to the data availability.





# **Technical Topics**

Flood Warning System Coordination



### Flood Warning System Coordination

- General approach for TSI:
  - Evaluate the latest methods and state-of-the-art technology that could enhance existing (or complement ongoing development of) flood forecasting and warning system(s) in the TSI Project Area.
  - Investigate best practices for meteorological and hydrologic and hydraulic modeling systems.
  - To avoid duplication, the capabilities and opportunities to partner with or integrate information into existing regional flood warning platforms and tools will be evaluated.
- How are we accomplishing this?
  - Research existing flood warning system platforms
  - Discuss with key stakeholders (communities, organizations, subject matter experts, etc.)
  - Evaluate various approaches and systems
  - Document findings and make recommendations
- Some questions to answer:
  - Where's it going to flood and how much?
  - When will the flooding happen?
  - Is it going to impact critical infrastructure?
  - What's the plan for transportation routing and safety?







### Flood Warning System Coordination

- The role of coordination on TSI
  - Federal coordination (FEMA, NWS, USGS, USACE, etc.)
    - Interagency Flood Risk Management (InFRM)
    - National Water Model (HAND vs. RAS2FIM)
    - Traditional USGS gaging
  - State coordination (TWDB, TxDOT, etc.)
    - TWDB Flood Organizing Group
    - TxDOT/UT-Austin exploring Road Elevation Model and stormwater infrastructure, including low water crossings, span bridges, and bridge-class culverts.
  - Local coordination (TSI community outreach meetings, exploration of existing platforms, water districts, etc.)
    - Low-cost gaging/sensor considerations
    - In-house vs outsourced monitoring
  - Other coordination (i.e., conferences, academia, consultants, etc.)



InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/



TWDB TexMesonet https://www.texmesonet.org/

 Image: Section of the section of t

### Harris County Flood Warning System (<u>https://www.harriscountyfws.org/</u>)



-ort worth OneRain Flood warnin https://www.texmesonet.org/



National Water Model https://water.noaa.gov/map

Road Elevation Model Links to Stormwater Infrastructure





RAS2FIM: (Flood Inundation Mapping (*FIM*) geospatial grids from Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System (HEC-*RAS*) models

### **Real-Time Road Flood Inundation Mapping**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

water surface elevation

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

road elevation

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Technical Topics**

### Flooded Roads Information Systems Project

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Flooded Roads Information System Project**

- Improve roadway safety by providing advance warnings to drivers/vehicles through mobile driving apps and connected vehicle systems
- Systems will focus on alerting users of a high probability of water pooling, ponding, or flooding on roadway surfaces
- Includes integration with existing regional flood detection systems and a needs assessment for locating additional rain sensing infrastructure
- Includes incorporation of data/outputs resulting from emerging practices in meteorological, hydrologic, & hydraulic modeling systems (TSI Study)

Implementing Agency	City	Limits	Fiscal Year	Federal Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Funds – Category 7	Transportation Development Credits (TDCs)
NCTCOG	Various	Regionwide	2025	\$2,000,000	\$400,000; TDCs to be utilized in lieu of a cash match

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Upcoming Events**

And Contact Information

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Upcoming Events**

### **Floodplain Seminar for Elected Officials**

November 1, 9:30-11:30 AM

NCTCOG Offices, Transportation Council Room, 616 Six Flags Drive, Arlington, TX 76011

Registration: <a href="https://www.addevent.com/event/Eb22200441">https://www.addevent.com/event/Eb22200441</a>

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Contact

### Sue Alvarez, PE, CFM

Director, Environment & Development NCTCOG 817-704-2549 salvarez@nctcog.org

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Matt Lepinski, PE

Chief, Hydrologic and Hydraulic Studies Water Resources Branch US Army Corps of Engineers **817-886-1683** 

Matthew.T.Lepinski@usace.army.mil

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Jai-W Hayes-Jackson, CFM

Planner, Environment & Development NCTCOG 817-695-9212 jhayes-Jackson@nctcog.org

![](_page_36_Figure_11.jpeg)

Senior Projects Manager, Transportation NCTCOG 817-608-2345 jneal@nctcog.org

### Kate Zielke, CFM

**Jeff Neal, PTP** 

Program Supervisor, Environment & Development NCTCOG 817-695-9227 kzielke@nctcog.org