

# **Project Update Meeting**

Transportation and Stormwater Infrastructure Study September 2024



Funded by the Texas General Land Office, Community Development Block Grant, Disaster Recovery Program.



Also Funded by the Texas Water Development Board and Texas Department of Transportation.

# Welcome!

Speaker introductions

Please take the pre-meeting survey

Paper/pen version available





# **Study Background**

www.nctcog.org/tsi



### Integrated Transportation and Stormwater Infrastructure (TSI) Initiative

- Integrate stormwater management, urban development, transportation, and environmental planning
- Identify impacts and alleviate risks from flooding
- Get ahead of growth
- Reduce costs





## **North and West Study Areas**





### **Project Area Details**

- 85 cities and portions of 8 counties
- 126% increase in population (2020 – 2045)
- 60% undeveloped (2015)
- 19% growth in impervious surface (2006 – 2016)
- > 7,000 miles of streams and
  > 274,000 acres of 100-year floodplain



Photo courtesy of City of Newark



### **Ongoing Challenges**





#### **Urbanization Demands**

- About 50,000 people are moving to the study area every year
- More urbanization and development leads to more impervious surfaces

#### **Stormwater Data**

- No regionwide infrastructure data
- Piece-meal/lacks connectivity
- NOAA Atlas 14 updated rainfall estimates but only updated every 10 years

#### **Transportation Funding**

- Transportation spending is high and growing, including for asset management
- Rate of deterioration for transportation infrastructure increasing







# **Estimated Study Timeline**

#### **Through Fall 2025**

Continue training workshops and site visits to individual communities

#### **March 2026**

Conduct project update meeting to present findings and seek stakeholder feedback

#### July 2026 Submit deliverables to funding agencies

#### Winter 2025/2026

Complete H&H modeling and identify transportation and other policy recommendations

#### June 2026

Conduct project update meeting to present final products incorporating stakeholder feedback



# **Data & H&H Modeling**



### **Response vs. Prevention**



Sources: Flooded Area of Stores and Homes Near Downtown Fort Worth During Flood of 1949; https://texashistory.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metapth27965/: University of North Texas Libraries, The Portal to Texas History, <u>https://texashistory.unt.edu</u>; Tarrant County College NE, Heritage Room

### Fort Worth – May 1949 (~11 inches of rain overnight):

- Levees breached, 10 deaths & \$11M+ in damages
- Resulted in extensive improvements to flood control infrastructure
  - Water District (established in 1924)
  - USACE Fort Worth District (established in 1950)

integrating Transportation & Stormwater Infrastructure







### How Can WE Accomplish This?

- TSI benefits from valuable flood hazard awareness and resiliency information that has helped reduce uncertainty related to flood risk
- Enables us to further enhance and integrate this information at a regional scale
- Without this information, it would require extensive effort on the front end of the project to get here

#### Leverage existing Flood Risk Management initiatives...



... to innovate at a regional scale



# Hydrology

 Developed SOP and enhancing hydrology (including new flow locations) in pilot areas and larger West area:

- Mary's Creek
- Village Creek
- Mountain Creek
- Clear Fork
- West Fork



#### TSI Project West Study Region

HEC-HMS Model Development SOP

#### May 2024

1. Overv	iew of the HMS Model Development for TSI	
2. Data	Sources	
2.1 6	IS Data	:
2.2 N	lodel Data	
3. Subbo	isin Locations	
4. HEC-H	IMS Methodology	
4.1 P	ilot Example	
4.2 S	ubbasin Delineations in HEC-HMS	
4.3 U	pdate HEC-HMS Element Names and Descriptions	
4.4 li	itial HMS Parameters Calculations	9
4.5 C	alibration to InFRM WHA Results	1
4.6 U	pdate the HEC-HMS Basin Model for TSI 2020 Conditions	2
4.6.1	TSI Existing Conditions for 2020	2
4.6.2	Run the 100-yr storm for 2020 conditions	2
4.8 N	Indel Documentation	2
4.9 li	nterim Review 4 - Final Existing Conditions HEC-HMS Model	2
4.10 U	pdate the HEC-HMS Basin Model for TSI Future Conditions	2
4.10.1	TSI 2070 Future Conditions Basin Model	2
4.10.2	Run the 100-yr Storm for 2070 Future Conditions	2
4.10.3	Run TSI Storm Scenarios for Future Conditions	2
4.11	Model Documentation	2
4.12 F	inal Review 5 - Final Future Conditions HEC-HMS Model	2
5. Addit	ional Considerations for the Hydrology of the West Fork	25

Delineate additional subbasins in HEC-HMS

Update HMS element names and descriptions

- Calculate initial HMS parameters
- 4. Calibrate to InFRM WHA results
- 5. Update the HMS basin model for TSI current and future conditions
- 6. Run TSI storm scenarios

1.

2.

3.

- Model documentation
- Submit final HMS model for review and use for team members



# **Hydraulics**

- Developed SOP and enhancing hydraulic models to inform flooding considerations:
  - Defining approach for enhancing Base Level Engineering (BLE)
    - Exploring 1D vs 2D model considerations
    - Testing approaches, adding detail, urban drainage, determining environmental constraints, establish recurrence intervals, incorporate current/future flows, optimization scripting, etc.

		TSI Project	
		West Study Region	
		HEC-RAS Model Development	
		May 2024	
1	Ov	erview of the Hydraulic Model Development for TSI	2
2	Da	a Sources	2
	2.1	GIS Data	2
	2.2	Model Data	2
3	HE	C-RAS Methodology Development	3
	3.1	Eagle Mountain Pilot	3
	3.2	HEC-RAS Modeling Process	3
	3.2.	1 1D BLE Individual Models	3
	3.2.	2 1D Combined Models	11
	3.2.	3 20 Modeling	14
	• • • •	del Methedelens, Communican, Dissussion, and Becommunandation	

Defining TSI HEC-RAS Modeling Process for:

- 1. 1D Individual Models
- 2. 1D Combined Models
- 3. 2D Modeling



Lower West Fork Trinity: BLE COMPLETE & ON VIEWER SOON (2D STUDY)

BLE Viewer Link: https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/

Upper West Fork Trinity: BLE AVAILABLE ON VIEWER (1D STUDY)



# **Green Stormwater Infrastructure**



### **Typical Urbanization Adds Impervious Surfaces**

2020 (6.4% Impervious)



2070 (35.2% Impervious)





### **Green Stormwater Infrastructure and Nature-Based Solutions Can Mitigate Increased Runoff**

Menu of potential green stormwater infrastructure (GSI) and nature-based solutions (NBS) mitigation strategies

Ideal locations for GSI and NBS

Return-on-investment analysis







## **Optimization Study**

- The optimization study aims to model ideal location and sizing for detention ponds and consider potential alternatives (e.g., GSI/NBS) to reduce downstream flows.
- Utilizes the enhanced hydrology (HEC-HMS) models as a starting point.
- May incorporate transportation facilities at risk, regulatory tools, green infrastructure applications, scenario options, vulnerable areas, infrastructure integration options, and flood-prone and ideal GSI/NBS implementation areas where possible.
- Relies on the results of GSI and NBS suitability index based on geological, social, and environmental parameters and ranking of project types and locations.



### Approach to Flood Risk Reduction Flood susceptibility mapping

 Indicator method: Develop a flood susceptibility map using a GIS stacking model that includes four categories of conditioning factors: Environmental, Socioeconomical, Infrastructural, and Institutional

Hydromorphological

Distance from river

Flow accumulation

Stream density

Stream order

Flow direction

concentration

Curve number

Land use/cover

Imperviousness or

Time of

NDVI

NDWI

NDBI

SPI

STI

٠

#### Environmental

#### Socio-economical

- Social vulnerability index
- Population density

#### Infrastructural

- Distance from transportation
  network
- Distance from NRCS BMPs (ex. water harvesting catchment, pumping plant, roof runoff structure)

#### Institutional

 Distance from USGS streamflow monitoring gauges



Geology (lithology)

Soil hydrologic group

Topographical

Slope

Elevation

LS factor

Curvature

Aspect

Meteorological

· Rainfall intensity

Rainfall durationRainfall frequency

TWI

TRI

Geological

Note: Factors are summarized based on a literature review from 30 peerreviewed journal articles over the past three years. All these factors could be considered in TSI study according to the data availability.



### **Result: A menu of options & integration where it makes sense**



Note that these images are AI generated



# **Progress and Upcoming Tasks**



# **Collect and Analyze Data**



#### North Study Area

Literature review content specific to North Study Area

Additional data sets Additional metadata documentation

#### West Study Area

Literature review

Documentation of needed and desired data sets

Documentation of metadata underway



### Assess Hydrology and Hydraulics and Scenarios

#### **North Study Area**

Pecan Creek Pilot Study

#### West Study Area

SOPs for hydrology and hydraulics methods Three pilot study areas Storm shifting scenarios



# **Conduct Environmental Planning**

### **North Study Area**

Documentation of existing GSI and NBS planning and infrastructure

Application of stacking model to North

#### West Study Area

Documentation of existing GSI and NBS planning and infrastructure

GIS stacking model of suitability parameters for GSI and NBS

Optimization study to model ideal location and sizing for flood control structures





### Identify Transportation Infrastructure Impacts & Develop Decision-Making Tools

#### North and West Study Areas

Mapping of facilities at risk of flooding

Framework for project selection and prioritization

Return-on-investment of flood mitigation

Recommendations of regulatory tools, green infrastructure, stormwater/ transportation integration strategies, sustainable infrastructure strategies





Photo courtesy of Tarrant Regional Water District



### Evaluate a Real-Time Flood Warning System

#### North and West Study Areas

Blueprint for integrating H&H with flood warning systems

Coordination with effort funded by Regional Transportation Council



### Support & Empower Communities



#### North and West Study Areas

Inventory of existing codes, ordinances, and policies; model policies

Identification of incentives for conservation and preservation of floodplain

Pilot expansion of Corridor Development Certificate Program beyond current footprint (West Study Area)







# **Stakeholder Involvement**

### North Study Area

Visits to communities

Additional content during Technical Advisory Group meetings

### West Study Area

Site visits to individual communities; emphasizing equity communities with FEMA funding

Online: <a href="http://www.nctcog.org/TSI">www.nctcog.org/TSI</a> and StoryMap

Stakeholder engagement plan

Workshop recordings

Technical Advisory Group presentations and meeting



### **Document Processes**

North and West Study Areas

Report documenting methods, processes, and analyses to allow for replication in other regions



# **Upcoming TSI Activities**

#### **Technical Advisory Group Meeting**

Friday, October 25, 10:30 a.m. - noon Microsoft Teams

Attend the meeting

#### **Community Site Visits**

In person in your community

Please reach out to a study team member to schedule a visit

#### **Training Workshops**

Potential topics:

- County Watershed Management
- Upstream/Downstream Communities Dialogue
- Others?





### Breakout Stations

#### **Breakout Station 1:**

Pecan Creek pilot study to establish technical approach for North Study Area.

**Presenter:** Matt Lepinski, USACE

#### **Breakout Station 3:**

Site visits generated some common challenges related to flooding and development.

**Presenter:** Jai-W Hayes-Jackson, NCTCOG

#### **Breakout Station 5:**

The StoryMap makes the case for proactive planning for flood mitigation.

**Presenter:** Kate Zielke, NCTCOG

#### **Breakout Station 2:**

Modeling considers basin characteristics and a future land use scenario to optimize mitigation strategies.

**Presenter:** Dr. Nick Fang, UT-Arlington

#### **Breakout Station 4:**

An Enviroscape model promotes discussion of flooding and best management practices.

**Presenter:** Erin Blackman, NCTCOG

# **Thank You For Attending!**

Please take the post-meeting survey

Paper/pen version available





# **Funding Partners**

Texas General Land Office / Department of Housing and Urban Development

Texas Water Development Board

Texas Department of Transportation / Federal Highway Administration

US Army Corps of Engineers

Federal Emergency Management Agency

# **Project Partners**

#### West Study Area

North Central Texas Council of Governments

US Army Corps of Engineers

University of Texas at Arlington

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service

Tarrant Regional Water District

Freese and Nichols, Inc.

Halff Associates, Inc.



# **Today's Presenters**



#### Matthew Lepinski, PE

Lead Hydraulic Engineer US Army Corps of Engineers 817.886.1683 Matthew.T.Lepinski@usace.army.mil



### Jeff Neal, PTP

Senior Projects Manager NCTCOG Transportation Department 214.223.0578 jneal@nctcog.org



#### Kate Zielke, CFM

Program Supervisor NCTCOG Environment & Development Department 817.695.9227 kzielke@nctcog.org

#### www.nctcog.org/tsi

